National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

003-0000-0118

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in Guidelines for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries. Name of Property Spencer's Crossing Bridge historic name other names/site number Greeley Bridge .1 mile north, and .6 miles west of Greeley 2. Location not for publication On unmarked county road street & number X vicinity city, town zip code code county Anderson code Kansas state Classification Number of Resources within Property Category of Property Ownership of Property Noncontributing Contributing building(s) private buildings district xx public-local sites Sito public-State structures xx structure public-Federal objects object Total Number of contributing resources previously Name of related multiple property listing: listed in the National Register __ Metal Truss Bridges in Kansas 4. State/Federal Agency Certification As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, I hereby certify that this nomination request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property I meets does not meet the National Register criteria. See continuation sheet. Signature of contifying official State or Federal agency and bureau does not meet the National Register criteria. USee continuation sheet. _lmeets l In my opinion, the property L Date Signature of commenting or other official State or Federal agency and bureau 5. National Park Service Certification I, hereby, certify that this property is: entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined eligible for the National Register. See continuation sheet. determined not eligible for the National Register. removed from the National Register. other, (explain:) Date of Action Signature of the Keeper

6. Function or Use Historic Functions (enter categories from matructions)	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)
Transportation: Road Related (Vehicular); Bridge	Transportation: Road Related (Vehicular): Bridge
7. Description	
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (enter categories from instructions)
, <u> </u>	foundation
Other: Pratt through truss	walls
	roof
	other <u>Metal: Wrought Iron</u>

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

Spencer's Crossing bridge, erected in 1885, is a pin connected Pratt through truss and two pin connected Pratt pony trusses. The west span consists of a 60 foot long pin connected Pratt pony truss. The center span is a 129 foot long pin connected Pratt through truss. The east span consists of a 41 foot long pin connected Pratt pony truss. All spans are 16 foot wide. The wooden bridge deck is situated 25 foot above the stream bed.

The members of a truss bridge are designated either as chord members or web members. Chord members are those mainly defining the outlines of the structure and they are termed lower or upper chord members depending on whether they are found at the bottom or the top of the structure. Members between the chords are web members. They are called posts or ties if they sustain compression or tension respectively. In the instance of the Spencer's Crossing bridge, as with all Pratt trusses, the web members are alternately vertical and inclined. The inclined members are in tension and the verticals in compression.

The end posts and top chords of both pony trusses are constructed with single bar lacing, channel and cover plates. Compression posts are fabricated from angle plate and single bar lattice. The main diagonals are threaded at the top and attached to the hip connection by the use of hex nuts and a cast iron saddle. Main members of the bridge, however, are connected at panel points by the use of a pin.

The through truss features a lattice portal strut and bracing. Vertical posts are made up of angle plate and modified non intersecting flat lattice. End posts and top chords are fabricated from channel plate, horizontal bars and a cover plate. At an undisclosed date the stone pier between the east span and the through truss was replaced with concrete. It does not adversely affect the bridge's integrity.

10				
		A PARKET I	DAY LOSES	sheet
	CC C	V1040110	0.04410-10	*****

8. Statement of Significance		
Certifying official has considered the significance of this principle. In nationally	roperty in relation to other properties:	
Applicable National Register Criteria A B	C D	
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)	C D DE DF DG	
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) Engineering	Period of Significance 1885	Significant Dates 1885
Transportation	1885	1885
	Cultural Affiliation n/a	
Significant Person n/a	Architect/Builder Wrought Iron Bridge Bui	lders
	The second secon	

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

The great evolution of truss bridge construction began in the United States soon after the publication of Squire Whipple's historic work on stresses in 1840. Prior to this the design work was essentially that of trial and error, experience and judgement. The Warren and Pratt trusses were rational designs and lent themselves readily to the system of analysis postulated by Whipple. They were, therefore, readily and rapidly accepted and formed the foundation for a greater part of American truss design.

The basic Pratt truss was patented in 1844 by Thomas and Caleb Pratt and the Warren, a design patented by two British engineers in 1848, demonstrated their versatility, durability and most important for the west, cost effectiveness.

In the Pratt design the diagonals were placed in tension and vertical members in compression, with the exception of the hip verticals. Generally, until the 20th century, all panel point connections were made with the use of a pin. This became such a widespread practice that it became one of the distinctive features of United States bridge construction. The pin was selected for several reasons. It was simple in design and it was much easier for period engineers to calculate stress at the panel points and throughout the structure than if the members were connected by the use of rivets. Although the riveted structure was much more rigid, the inability to insure that the individual rivets had not been damaged during insertion made early failure an unknown quantity. It was extremely difficult to calculate the stress throughout the joint. The pin could be considered basically as a single rivet.

Time was always a consideration in American construction. Logically labor costs would be less if the bridge went in quickly but also the falsework in the river would not have to be in place long. Flash floods were the bane of any bridge contractor. The pin connected bridge could be

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section	number	-8	Page	1

put up more quickly and with the use of less skilled employees. The portable pneumatic riveter was also in its infancy in the 1890s and without it, erection of totally riveted bridges was almost impossible. It was much easier to have the bridge members fabricated and riveted in a shop setting and pin them together on the job site.

The use of wrought iron in bridge construction was popular in 1885. By 1910 it was almost entirely replaced by steel.

The Spencers Crossing bridge is significant because it is a good example of the Pratt truss design of the late 19th century and is the work of one of the major out-of-state bridge fabrication companies, namely the Wrought Iron Bridge Company of Canton, Ohio. Out of the approximately 262 Pratt through trusses in Kansas only nine have presently been identified as having been built by Wrought Iron Bridge. These are spread throughout the state in Anderson, Bourbon, Cloud, Miami, Republic, Smith and Wilson counties. All are presently in use on the county highway systems.

Organized in 1864 by David Hammond, Wrought Iron Bridge Company was incorporated in 1871. Its major offices were located at Canton, New York City, Chicago and Kansas City, Missouri. From 1880-1900 the company was one of the major suppliers of metal truss bridges. In 1900 the company was absorbed by J. P. Morgan's American Bridge Company. Current information suggests that the company only sold Pratt truss structures in Kansas.

Bids were advertised on June 8, 1885 for the construction of four "wrought iron truss bridges." One of them was to be located at "Spencers Crossing," north of Greeley. At the same time, it was announced that bids would also be received for masonry abutments for each structure.

When the bids were opened on Saturday, July 11, 1885, problems arose. The commissioners were served with an injunction enjoining them not to let the contract for building the bridge at Gardner's Crossing of the Pottawatomie. The injunction claimed among other things that the special law passed for the county dealing with bridges had expired and the Commissioners no longer had the power to levy a special tax to finance

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

6 Ai		8	Page	2
58CUON	numoi	8	t critica	

building them. The board decided to wait until the courts had decided the issue before awarding contracts for all of the bridges. They did agree to award one contract, the Greeley bridge, to the Wrought Iron Bridge Company for \$3,990 and George Stump for the stone work at \$4.45 per cubic yard. This bridge, it was determined, could be built without a special levy. As the local press reported the "squally" session ended as well as could be expected due to the fact the Commissioners possessed cool heads. On July 24th, Judge Benson of Ottawa dropped the injunction.

Work was pushed on the Greeley bridges. There were setbacks such as a cave in of the banks on August 28, while the piers were being built and high water in late September and early October. By October 1, one pier was completed and the remaining work well under way. Work continued day and night to raise the stone work above the water level and attain a margin of safety. In two weeks the stone work neared completion. By December 3, the bridge itself had arrived and was being put in place.

The local press reported the completion of the bridge on December 31. The final cost approached \$7,000 but felt to be well worth the price.

The bridge would provide an all weather crossing that had been needed for many years. It would shorten the trade route for the local farmers and provide increased development possibilities for Greeley. In the past high waters forced most of the local traffic to other towns. The small town now had some leverage to entice trade from a much larger area.

Although a common truss type in Kansas, the Greeley Pratt truss is an excellent representative example due to the fact that it is in relatively good condition and is made up of both pony as well as through trusses. It is also one of the oldest remaining examples.

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) carried out a statewide inventory of historic bridges between 1980 and 1983. The bridges to be included were identified through computer printouts developed by KDOT, from information supplied by the counties (since almost all of the historic bridges were located on secondary rather than primary road system), and by direct observation by field personnel. All bridges were inspected by KDOT personnel to verify the data on file. That information was jointly evaluated by representatives of KDOT, Kansas State Historical Society, and the State Historic Preservation Officer.

Each structure was evaluated using a points rating system adapted from the points evaluation rating developed by the Ohio Department of Transportation and Ohio Historic Preservation Office. Consideration was

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section	number	8	Page	3	

given to areas such as age, builder, number of spans, length, special features, history, integrity, surviving numbers, and preservation potential.

In many instances there is little information about individual structures. Often bridge plaques which may have contained information have been removed, or the county's records are not complete or have been destroyed. Due to the large numbers of similar structures there is often little to choose from in differentiating among individual bridges other than condition and the likelihood of preservation.

The purpose of the KDOT study and subsequent evolution was to identify a representative selection of bridges of each class. Through this approach KDOT and KSHS hope to preserve for posterity some examples of each type.

The Spencers Creek bridge was rated quite high in significance because of its age, because it was the work of a known prolific out-of-state builder, retained a good degree of its integrity and possesses a fair bypass potential.

Garnet Anderson County Democrat, July 24 [Local News] Greeley News, December 31, [Local News] Greeley News, December 3, 1, [Local News] Greeley News, November 26, [Local News] Greeley News, October 15, 1, [Local News] Greeley News, October 1, 18, [Local News] Greeley News, September 3, "The Bridges," Garnett Republican-Plaind "Notice to Bridge Builders," Garnett Republican-Plaind p. 9, c. 5. "Notice to Stone Masons," Ibid.	1885, p. 2, c. 2. 885, p. 3, c. 3. 1885, p. 2, c. 2. 885, p. 3, c. 2. 85, p. 3, c. 4. 1885, p. 3, c. 2. ealer, July 17, 1885, p. 1, c. 3.
	See continuation sheet
Previous documentation on file (NPS): preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National Register designated a National Historic Landmark recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #	Primary location of additional data: X State historic preservation office Other State agency Federal agency Local government University Other Specify repository: Kansas State Historical Society
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of property less than one acre	
UTM References A 1,5 3 1 ,2 4, 0 0 4,2 4 ,9 6,9 ,0 Zone Easting Northing C	B Zone Easting Northing D See continuation sheet
	hand To The Control of the Control o
Verbal Boundary Description The nominated property is located on the section 19, township 19S, range 21E, on southeast corner represents the southeas at the southeast corner of the boundary northeast, 191' southeast, and 16' south	a tract measuring 191' x 16' whose t corner of the bridge. Beginning proceed 191' northwest, 16'
Boundary Justification	
The boundary includes only that area that the nominated property.	t is historically associated with
	See continuation sheet
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title Larry Jochims	
organization Kansas State Historical Society	date <u>September 20, 1989</u>
street & number 120 W. 10th Topeka	telephone (913) 296-3251 state KS zip code 66612
city or town	state KS zip code 60012

9. Major Bibliographical Refer ncer

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number	9	Page	1	
----------------	---	------	---	--

Victor C. Darnell, <u>American Bridge Building Companies</u>, Washington, DC:
Society for Industrial Archeology Occasional Publication 4, 1984.
"Eight New Bridges," Belleville <u>Freeman</u>, May 4, 1899, p. 4, C. 3.
David Weitzman, <u>Traces of the Past: A Field Guide to Industrial Archeology</u>,
New York: Charles Saibner's Sons, 1980.